DE GRUYTER OPEN

DOI 10.1515/tmj-2017-0054

Minkowski type inequality for fuzzy and pseudo-integrals

B. Daraby, F. Rostampour and A. Rahimi

Department of Mathematics, University of Maragheh, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran E-mail: bayazdaraby@yahoo.com, fatemerostampour87@yahoo.com, A.Rahimi@yahoo.com

Abstract

One of the famous mathematical inequality is Minkowski's inequality. It is an important inequality from both mathematical and application points of view. In this paper, a Minkowski type inequality for fuzzy and pseudo-integrals is studied. The established results are based on the classical Minkowski's inequality for integrals.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. **03E72**. 28E10, 26E50 Keywords. Sugeno integrals, pseudo-integrals, inequality, Minkowski's inequality, fuzzy integral inequality.

1 Introduction

The theory of fuzzy measures and fuzzy integrals was introduced by Sugeno [37] as a tool for modelling non-deterministic problems. Fuzzy integrals or Sugeno integrals have very interesting properties from a mathematical point of view which have been studied by many authors, studied by many authors including Pap [24], Ralescu and Adams [26], Wang and Klir [40] among others. Ralesco and Adams [26] studied several equivalent definitions of fuzzy integrals, while Pap [24] and Wang and Klir [40], provided an overview of fuzzy measure theory. The fuzzy integral for monotone functions was presented in [27]. In fact, fuzzy measures and fuzzy integrals are versatile operators which can be used in different areas. They have a broad use in information fusion, electronic auctions, decision making, and etc. Chen et al. [4] employed fuzzy integral and fuzzy measure to establish a public attitude analysis model. The integral inequalities are useful results in several theoretical and applied fields. For instance, integral inequalities play a major role in the development of a time scales calculus. Özkan et al. [22] obtained Hölders inequality, Minkowskis inequality and Jensen's inequality on time scales. Also H. M. Srivastava et.al [34, 35] studied some generalizations of Maroni's inequality and some weighted Pial-type inequalities on time scales. Some famous inequalities have been generalized to fuzzy integral. Román-Flores and Chalco-Cano [28] analyzed an interesting type of geometric inequalities for fuzzy integral with some applications to convex geometry. Román-Flores et al. [29, 30] studied a Jensen type inequality and a convolution type inequality for fuzzy integrals. Also, they have investigated a Chebyshev type inequality and a Stolarsky type inequality for fuzzy integrals [12, 31]. In [12], a fuzzy Chebyshev inequality for a special case was obtained which has been generalized by Ouyang et al. [21]. Furthermore, Chybyshev type inequalities for fuzzy integral were proposed in a rather general form by Mesiar and Ouyang [17]. Recently, B. Daraby and L. Arabi Proved a related Fritz Carlson type inequality for Sugeno integrals [8]. For more refrences on integral inequalities and its applications you can see [39, 20, 41, 36].

Pseudo-analysis is a generalization of the classical analysis, where instead of the field of real numbers a semiring is taken on a real interval $[a, b] \subset [-\infty, \infty]$ endowed with pseudo-addition \oplus and with pseudo-multiplication \odot ([11, 9, 8, 5, 25, 6, 37]). Based on this structure there where developed

the concepts of \oplus -measure (pseudo-additive measure), pseudo-integral, pseudo-convolution, pseudo-Laplace transform and etc. ([7, 12, 27]).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 some preliminaries and summarization of some previous known results are given. Section 3 proposes a Minkowski type inequality for fuzzy integrals. Section 4, deals with a Minkowski type inequality for Pseudo-integrals. Finally, Section 5 contains a short conclusion.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, some definitions and basic properties of the Sugeno and Pseudo integrals which will be used in the next sections are presented.

Definition 2.1. Let Σ be a σ -algebra of subsets of X and let $\mu : \Sigma \to [0, \infty)$ be a non-negative, extended real-valued set function, we say that μ is a fuzzy measure iff:

(FM1) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$; (FM2) $E, F \in \Sigma$ and $E \subseteq F$ imply $\mu(E) \le \mu(F)$ (monotonicity); (FM3) $E_n \subseteq \Sigma$, $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \ldots$ imply $\lim \mu(E_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_n)$ (continuity from below); (FM4) $E_n \subseteq \Sigma$, $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \ldots, \mu(E_1) < \infty$ imply $\lim \mu(E_n) = \mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_n)$ (continuity from from the control of the

above).

If f is a non-negative real-valued function on X, we will denote $F_{\alpha} = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \geq \alpha\} = \{f \geq \alpha\}$, the α -level of f, for $\alpha > 0$. $F_0 = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > 0\} = supp(f)$ is the support of f. We know that: $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow \{f \geq \beta\} \subseteq \{f \geq \alpha\}$.

If μ is a fuzzy measure on X , we define the following:

$$\mathfrak{F}^{\mu}(X) = \{ f : X \to [0, \infty) | \text{ f is } \mu - \text{measurable} \}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let μ be a fuzzy measure on (X, Σ) . If $f \in \mathfrak{F}^{\mu}(X)$ and $A \in \Sigma$, then the Sugeno integral (or fuzzy integral) of f on A, with respect to the fuzzy measure μ , is defined [40] as

$$\int_A f d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \ge 0} (\alpha \wedge \mu(A \cap F_\alpha)).$$

Where \lor , \land denotes the operation sup and inf on $[0, \infty)$ respectively. In particular, if A = X then:

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int f d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \ge 0} (\alpha \land \mu(F_\alpha)).$$

The following proposition gives most elementary properties of the fuzzy integral and can be found in [40].

Proposition 2.3. Let (X, \mathfrak{F}, μ) be a fuzzy measure space, with $A, B \in \Sigma$ and $f, g \in \mathfrak{F}$. We have

- 1. $\oint_A f d\mu \le \mu(A)$.
- 2. $\oint_A kd\mu \leq k \wedge \mu(A)$, for k nonnegative constant.

- 3. If $f \leq g$ on A, then $\oint_A f d\mu \leq \oint_A g d\mu$.
- 4. if $A \subset B$, then $\oint_A f d\mu \leq \oint_A g d\mu$.
- 5. if $\mu(A) < \infty$, then $\oint_A f d\mu \ge \alpha \Leftrightarrow \mu(A \cap \{f \ge \alpha\}) \ge \alpha$.
- 7. $\oint_A f d\mu < \alpha \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } \gamma < \alpha \text{ such that } (A \cap \{f \ge \gamma\}) < \alpha.$
- 8. $\oint_A f d\mu > \alpha \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $\gamma > \alpha$ such that $(A \cap \{f \ge \gamma\}) > \alpha$.

Remark 2.4. Let $F(\alpha) = \mu(A \cap F_{\alpha})$, from parts (5) and (6) of the above Proposition, it very important to note that

$$F(\alpha) = \alpha \Rightarrow \int_A f d\mu = \alpha$$

Thus, from a numerical point of view, the Sugeno integral can be calculated by solving the equation $F(\alpha) = \alpha$.

Let [a, b] be a closed (in some cases can be considered semiclosed) subinterval of $[-\infty, \infty]$. The full order on [a, b] will be denoted by \leq .

The operation \oplus (pseudo-addition) is a function \oplus : $[a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ which is commutative, nondecreasing (with respect to \leq), associative and with a zero (neutral) element denoted by **0**, i.e., for each $x \in [a, b], \mathbf{0} \oplus x = x$ holds (usually **0** is either a or b). Let $[a, b]_+ = \{x | x \in [a, b], \mathbf{0} \leq x\}$.

Definition 2.5. The operation \odot (pseudo-multiplication) is a function \odot : $[a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ which is commutative, positively non-decreasing, i.e., $x \preceq y$ implies $x \odot z \preceq y \odot z$ for all $z \in [a, b]_+$, associative and for which there exists a unit element $\mathbf{1} \in [a, b]$, i.e., for each $x \in [a, b], \mathbf{1} \odot x = x$.

We assume also $\mathbf{0} \odot x = \mathbf{0}$ that \odot is a distributive pseudo-multiplication with respect to \oplus , i.e., $x \odot (y \oplus z) = (x \odot y) \oplus (x \odot z)$. The structure $([a, b], \oplus, \odot)$ is a semiring ([14]). In this paper, we will consider semirings with the following continuous operations:

Case I: The pseudo-addition is idempotent operation and the pseudo-multiplication is not. (a) $x \oplus y = \sup(x, y), \odot$ is arbitrary not idempotent pseudo-multiplication on the interval [a, b]. We have $\mathbf{0} = a$ and the idempotent operation sup induces a full order in the following way: $x \leq y$ if and only if $\sup(x, y) = y$.

(b) $x \oplus y = \inf(x, y)$, \odot is arbitrary not idempotent pseudo-multiplication on the interval [a, b]. We have $\mathbf{0} = b$ and the idempotent operation *inf* induces a full order in the following way: $x \leq y$ if and only if $\inf(x, y) = y$.

Case II: The pseudo-operations are defined by a monotone and continuous function $g:[a,b] \rightarrow [0,\infty]$, i.e., pseudo operations are given with $x \oplus y = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(x))$ and $x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(x))$. If the zero element for the pseudo-addition is a, we will consider increasing generators. Then g(a) = 0 and $g(b) = \infty$. If the zero element for the pseudo-addition is b, we will consider decreasing generators. Then g(b) = 0 and $g(a) = \infty$. If the generator g is increasing (respectively decreasing), then the operation \oplus induces the usual order (respectively opposite to the usual order) on the interval [a, b] in the following way: $x \leq y$ if and only if $g(x) \leq g(y)$.

Case III: Both operations are idempotent. We have

(a) $x \oplus y = \sup(x, y), x \odot y = \inf(x, y)$, on the interval [a, b]. We have $\mathbf{0} = a$ and $\mathbf{1} = b$. The

idempotent operation sup induces the usual order $(x \leq y \text{ if and only if } \sup(x, y) = y)$.

(b) $x \oplus y = \inf(x, y), x \odot y = \sup(x, y)$, on the interval [a, b]. We have $\mathbf{0} = b$ and $\mathbf{1} = a$. The idempotent operation *inf* induces an order opposite to the usual order $(x \leq y \text{ if and only if } \inf(x, y) = y)$.

Let X be a non-empty set. Let A be a σ -algebra of subsets of a set X.

We shall consider the semiring $([a, b], \oplus, \odot)$, when pseudo-operations are generated by a monotone and continuous function $g : [a, b] \to [0, \infty]$, i.e., pseudo-operations are given with $x \oplus y = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$ and $x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$.

Then the pseudo-integral for a function $f: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ reduces on the g-integral

$$\int_{[c,d]}^{\oplus} f(x)dx = g^{-1} \Big(\int_{c}^{d} g(f(x))dx \Big).$$
(2.1)

More on this structure as well as corresponding measures and integrals can be found in ([23]). The second class is when $x \oplus y = \max(x, y)$ and $x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$, the pseudo-integral for a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to [a, b]$ is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} f \odot dm = \sup \Big(f(x) \odot \psi(x) \Big),$$

where function ψ defines sup-measure m. Any sup-measure generated as essential supremum of a continuous denisty can be obtained as a limit of pseudo-additive measures with respect to generated pseudo-additive. For any continuous function $f: [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$ the integral $\int^{\oplus} f \odot dm$ can be obtained as a limit of g-integrals. We denoted by μ the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . We have

$$m(A) = ess \sup\{x | x \in A\} = \sup\{a | \mu(x | x \in A, x > a) > 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.6. ([18]). Let m be a sup-measure on $([0, \infty], \mathbb{B}[0, \infty])$, where $\mathbb{B}([0, \infty])$ is the Borel σ algebra on $[0, \infty]$, $m(A) = ess \sup_{\mu} (\psi(x) | x \in A)$, and $\psi : [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$ is a continuouse density. Then for any pseudo-addition \oplus with a generator g there exists a family m_{λ} of \oplus_{λ} -measure on $([0, \infty], \mathbb{B})$, where \oplus_{λ} is a generated by g^{λ} (the function g of the power λ), $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, such that $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} m_{\lambda} = m$.

Theorem 2.7. ([18]). Let $([0,\infty], \sup, \odot)$ be a semiring, when \odot is a generated with g, i.e., we have $x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$ for every $x, y \in (0,\infty)$. Let m be the same as in Theorem 2.6, Then there exists a family $\{m_{\lambda}\}$ of \oplus_{λ} -measures, where \oplus_{λ} is a generated by $g^{\lambda}, \lambda \in (0,\infty)$ such that for every continuous function $f:[0,\infty] \to [0,\infty]$,

$$\int^{\sup} f \odot dm = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int^{\oplus_{\lambda}} f \odot dm_{\lambda} = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} (g^{\lambda})^{-1} \Big(\int g^{\lambda}(f(x)) dx \Big).$$

Easyly a stright calculus give the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.8. Let f_1 and f_2 be integrable functions, $A \in \sum$ and $f_1 \leq f_1$, so we have:

1. $\oint_A f_1 dx \le \oint_A f_2 dx$. 2. $\int_A^{\oplus} f_1 dx \le \int_A^{\oplus} f_2 dx$.

The classical Minkowski's inequality was published by Minkowski [19] in his famous book "Geometrie der Zahlen". A proof of Minkowski's inequality as well as several extensions, related results, and interesting geometrical interpretations can be found in [32, 33]. An extension of Minkowski's inequality, which is based on Hölder's inequality, is given in [40]. Applications of Minkowski's inequality have been studied by many authors. For example özkan et al. [22] applied Minkowski's inequality, Hölder's inequality and Jensen's inequality on time scales. Lu et al. [15] used Minkowski's inequality for fast full search in motion estimation. The classical Minkowski's inequality [19] is as follows:

$$\left(\int_{a}^{b} (f(x) + g(x))^{s} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{s} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{a}^{b} g(x)^{s} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$
(2.2)

where $1 \leq s < \infty$ and $f, g: [0, 1] \to [0, \infty)$ are two nonnegative functions.

Note we recall the following inequalities which are the fuzzy versions of Minkowski's inequality at two cases and appears in [1].

Theorem 2.9. Let $f, g: [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be two real valued functions and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If f, g are both continuous and strictly decreasing functions, then the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^1 (f+g)^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_0^1 f^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_0^1 g^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

holds for all $1 \leq s < \infty$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $f, g: [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be two real valued functions and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If f, g are both continuous and strictly increasing functions, then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} (f+g)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} f^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{0}^{1} g^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$
(2.3)

holds for all $1 \leq s < \infty$.

The following theorem is pseudo version of Minkoeski's inequality and appears in [2].

Theorem 2.11. Let $f, g: X \to [0, \infty)$ be two measurable functions and $s \in [1, \infty)$. If an additive generator $g: [a, b] \to [0, 1]$ of the pseudo-addition \oplus and the pseudo-multiplication \odot are increasing. Then for any $\sigma - \oplus$ -measure m it holds:

$$\left(\int_{X}^{\oplus} (f+g)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{X}^{\oplus} f^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{X}^{\oplus} g^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$
(2.4)

The following theorem shows the new classical version of Minkowski's inequality and appears in [3].

Theorem 2.12. Let f and g be positive functions satisfying $0 < m \le \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M$, $\forall x \in [a, b]$, we have

$$\left(\int_{a}^{b} f^{s}(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{a}^{b} g^{s}(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le c \left(\int_{a}^{b} (f(x) + g(x))^{s}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$
(2.5)

where $1 \le s < \infty$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

3 Minkowski's inequality for fuzzy integrals

In this section, by an example we show that the Theorem 2.12 is not valid for the Sugeno integral.

Example 3.1. Let f(x) = x + 1, g(x) = 2x + 1 and s = 1. We have $0 < \frac{1}{3} \le \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le 1$ and

$$(\mathbf{i}) \oint_0^1 f(x) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \land \mu(\{x+1 \ge \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \land (\alpha - 1)] = 1,$$

(ii)
$$f_0^1 g(x) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \land \mu(\{2x+1 \ge \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \land (\frac{\alpha-1}{2})] = 1,$$

(iii)
$$\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) + g(x))d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,2]} [\alpha \land \mu(\{3x + 2 \ge \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,2]} [\alpha \land (\frac{\alpha - 2}{3})] = \frac{5}{4},$$

Consequently,

$$2 = \int_0^1 f(x)d\mu + \int_0^1 g(x)d\mu \not\leq c \int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))d\mu = \frac{10}{8} \times \frac{5}{4} = \frac{50}{32}$$

inequality (2.5) is not valid for fuzzy integrals.

To the following theorem we show a Minkoeski tupe inequality derived from (2.5) for the Sugeno integral.

Theorem 3.2. (Fuzzy Minkowski's inequality, decreasing case). Let $f, g : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be two real valued and non-negative functions and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Let f, g be both continuous and strictly decreasing functions. If functions satisfying

$$0 < m \le \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} f^{s}(x)d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{0}^{1} g^{s}(x)d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le 2c\left(\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) + g(x))^{s}d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}},\tag{3.1}$$

$$M(m+1) + (M+1)$$

holds, where $1 \le s < \infty$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. Since
$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M$$
, $f \le M(f(x) + g(x)) - Mf(x)$. Therefore
 $(M+1)^s f(x)^s \le M^s (f(x) + g(x))^s$

and so,

$$f(x)^{s} \le \frac{M^{s}}{(M+1)^{s}}(f(x) + g(x))^{s}$$

Now we have

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{M}{M+1}\right)^{s} (f(x) + g(x))^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(3.2)

By Lemma 2.8(1) we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{M}{M+1} dx < \int_{0}^{1} 1 dx = 1.$$
(3.3)

So by (3.2) and (3.3) we can write

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) + g(x))^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(3.4)

On the other hand, since $mg(x) \leq f(x)$, Hence

$$g \le \frac{1}{m}(f(x) + g(x)) - \frac{1}{m}g(x).$$

Therefore,

$$(\frac{1}{m}+1)^s g(x)^s \le (\frac{1}{m})^s (f(x)+g(x))^s$$

and so, by Lemma 2.8(1) we have

$$\left(f_0^1 g(x)^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(f_0^1 (\frac{1}{m+1})^s (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(3.5)

Since $\frac{1}{m+1} < 1$, then

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{m+1} dx < \int_{0}^{1} 1 dx = 1.$$
(3.6)

The inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) follows that

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} g(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) + g(x))^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(3.7)

B. Daraby, F. Rostampour, A. Rahimi

Q.E.D.

Now with adding the inequalities (3.4) and (3.7):

$$\left(\int_0^1 f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_0^1 g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le 2 \left(\int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ \le 2c \left(\int_0^1 (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

The proof is now complete.

Example 3.3. Let $f, g : [0, 1] \to [0, \infty)$ be two real valued functions defined as f(x) = 1 - x, $g(x) = 1 - x^2$ and μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Let s = 1. A straightforward calculus shows that $0 < \frac{1}{2} \le \frac{f}{g} \le 1$ and

(i)
$$f_0^1 f(x) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \land \mu(\{1 - x \ge \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \land (1 - \alpha)] = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5,$$

(ii) $\int_0^1 g(x)d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \land \mu(\{1 - x^2 \ge \alpha\})] = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \land \sqrt{1 - \alpha}] = 0.618,$

(iii)
$$\int_0^1 (f+g) d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{-x^2 - x + 2 \ge \alpha\})]$$

=
$$\bigvee_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \wedge \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(9-4\alpha)}\right)] = 0.732$$

Therefore

$$1.118 = 0.5 + 0.618 = \left(\int_0^1 f d\mu\right) + \left(\int_0^1 g d\mu\right) \le 2c \left(\int_0^1 (f+g) d\mu\right)$$
$$= 2c \times 0.732$$
$$= 1.464c.$$

Theorem 3.4. (Fuzzy Minkowski's inequality, decreasing case). Let $f, g : [0, 1] \to [0, \infty)$ be two real valued and non-negative functions and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Let f, g be both continuous and strictly decreasing functions and satisfying

$$0 < m \le \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{0}^{1} g(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le 2c \left(\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) + g(x))^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

$$M(m+1) + (M+1)$$

holds, where $1 \le s < \infty, n \ge 2$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. The proof is similar Theorem 3.2.

4 Minkowski's inequality for pseudo-integrals

Our purpose in this section is to prove the Minkowski's inequality derived from (2.5) for the pseudo-integrals.

Theorem 4.1. (Pseudo Minkowski's inequality, decreasing case). Let $f, h : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be continuous and strictly decreasing functions and μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If the pseudo-operations are defined by a continuous and decreasing $g : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty]$ and functions satisfying

$$0 < m \le \frac{f(x)}{h(x)} \le M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} h(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + h(x))^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}},\tag{4.1}$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty$, and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M$, $f(x) \le M(f(x) + g(x)) - Mf(x)$. Therefore $(M + 1)^s f(x)^s \le M^s (f(x) + g(x))^s$

$$(M+1)^{s} f(x)^{s} \le M^{s} (f(x) + g(x))^{s}$$

and so,

$$f(x)^s \le \frac{M^s}{(M+1)^s} (f(x) + g(x))^s$$

Now from Lemma 2.8(2),

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (\frac{M}{M+1})^{s} (f(x) + g(x))^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(4.2)

Since $\frac{M}{M+1} < 1$, from Lemma 2.8 (2), we have

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(4.3)

On the other hand, since $mg(x) \leq f(x)$, Hence

$$g(x) \le \frac{1}{m}(f(x) + g(x)) - \frac{1}{m}g(x).$$

Therefore,

$$(\frac{1}{m}+1)^s g(x)^s \le (\frac{1}{m})^s (f(x)+g(x))^s.$$

B. Daraby, F. Rostampour, A. Rahimi

and so, from Lemma 2.8 (2),

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} g(x)^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (\frac{1}{m+1})^s (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(4.4)

Since $\frac{1}{m+1} < 1$, from Lemma 2.8 (2) and the inequality (4.4) we have

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} g(x)^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(4.5)

Now with adding the inequalities (4.3) and (4.5) we have

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} g(x)^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le 2 \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ \le 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + g(x))^s d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

The proof is now complete.

Example 4.2. Let $f, h: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be two real valued functions as $f(x) = -x + \frac{1}{2}$, $h(x) = -x + \frac{3}{2}$ and μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Let s = 1, g(x) = -x, A straightforward calculus shows that $0 < \frac{4}{3} \leq \frac{f}{g} \leq 2$. Since

(i)
$$\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)d\mu = g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} g(f(x))d\mu$$

 $= g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} -(-x + \frac{1}{2})d\mu$
 $= g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} (x - \frac{1}{2})d\mu$
 $= g^{-1} (\frac{1}{2}x^{2} - \frac{1}{2}x|_{0}^{1})$
 $= g^{-1}(0)$
 $= 0,$

168

Unauthenticated Download Date | 2/28/18 8:06 AM

(ii)
$$\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} h(x)d\mu = g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} g(h(x)d\mu)$$
$$= g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} -(-x + \frac{3}{2})d\mu$$
$$= g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} (x - \frac{3}{2})d\mu$$
$$= g^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}x^{2} - \frac{3}{2}x|_{0}^{1})$$
$$= g^{-1}(-1)$$
$$= 1,$$

and

(iii)
$$\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} ((f+h)(x))d\mu = g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} g((f+h)(x))d\mu$$
$$= g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} g(-2x+2)d\mu$$
$$= g^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} (2x-2)d\mu$$
$$= g^{-1}(x^{2}-2x|_{0}^{1})$$
$$= g^{-1}(-1)$$
$$= 1.$$

Therefore

$$1 = 0 + 1 = \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f d\mu\right) + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} g d\mu\right) \leq 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f+g) d\mu\right)$$
$$\leq 2 \times c \times 1$$
$$= 2c.$$

Theorem 4.3. (Pseudo Minkowski inequality, increasing case). Let $f, h : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ be continuous and strictly increasing functions and μ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If the pseudo-operations are defined by a continuous and increasing $g : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ and functions satisfying

$$0 < m \le \frac{f(x)}{h(x)} \le M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} f(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} h(x)^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le nc \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus} (f(x) + h(x))^{s} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \tag{4.6}$$

holds, where $1 \le s < \infty$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Q.E.D.

Proof. By using the same argument in Theorem 4.1 proof is obvious.

Now we generaliz the Minkowski type inequality by the semiring $([0, 1], \max, \odot)$, where \odot is generated.

Theorem 4.4. Let $f, h : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be continuous and strictly decreasing functions and let m be the same as in Theorem 2.6. If \odot is represented by an decreasing multiplicative generator g and functions satisfying

$$0 < m \le \frac{f(x)}{h(x)} \le M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} f^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} h^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le nc \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f+h)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}},\tag{4.7}$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty, n \geq 2$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M$, $f \le M(f(x) + g(x)) - Mf(x)$. Therefore

$$(M+1)^s f(x)^s \le M^s (f(x) + g(x))^s$$

and so,

$$f(x)^{s} \le \frac{M^{s}}{(M+1)^{s}}(f(x) + g(x))^{s}.$$

Now,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} f(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} (\frac{M}{M+1})^s (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

Since $\frac{M}{M+1} < 1$, so

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} f(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}}f(x)^{s}\odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\leq \left(\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}}(f(x)+g(x))^{s}\odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Finally,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} f(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(4.8)

On the other hand, since $mg(x) \leq f(x)$, hence

$$g(x) \le \frac{1}{m}(f(x) + g(x)) - \frac{1}{m}g(x).$$

Therefore,

$$(\frac{1}{m}+1)^s g(x)^s \le (\frac{1}{m})^s (f(x)+g(x))^s$$

and so,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} (\frac{1}{m+1})^s (f(x)+g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

Since $\frac{1}{m+1} < 1$, so

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]}^{\oplus_{\lambda}} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Finally,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} g(x)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(4.9)

Now with adding the inequalities (4.8) and (4.9):

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} f(x)^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} g(x)^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le 2 \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f(x) + g(x))^s \odot dm \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

The proof is now complete.

Example 4.5. Let $f, h: [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be a μ -measurable, and $g^{\lambda}(x) = x^{-\lambda}$. So

$$x \oplus y = (x^{-\lambda} + y^{-\lambda})^{-\lambda}$$
 and $x \odot y = xy$.

Therefore Relation (4.7) reduces on the following inequality:

$$\sup\left((f(x)^{s})^{\frac{1}{s}} + \psi(x)\right) + \sup\left((h(x)^{s})^{\frac{1}{s}} + \psi(x)\right) \le nc\sup\left((f+h)^{s}(x) + \psi(x)\right)$$

where ψ is from Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 4.6. Let $f, h : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ are continuous and strictly increasing functions and let m be the same as in theorem 2.6. If \odot is represented by an increasing multiplicative generator g and functions satisfying

$$0 < m \le \frac{f}{h} \le M, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

then the inequality

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} f^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} h^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le 2c \left(\int_{[0,1]}^{\sup} (f+h)^s \odot dm\right)^{\frac{1}{s}},\tag{4.10}$$

holds, where $1 \leq s < \infty$ and $c = \frac{M(m+1) + (M+1)}{(m+1)(M+1)}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.4.

Note that third important case $\oplus =$ max and $\odot =$ min has been studied in [38] and the Pseudointegrals in such a case yields the Sugeno integral.

Conclusion: The classical Minkowski inequality is an important result in theoretical and applied fields. This paper proposed a Minkowski type inequality for fuzzy antegrals. Also, we proved this inequality for pseudo integrals: The first class is including the pseudo-integral based on a function reduces on the g-integral, where pseudo-addition and pseudo-multiplication are defined by a monotone and continuous function g. The second class is including the pseudo-integral based on the semiring $([a, b], \max, \odot)$ is given by sup -measure, where $x \odot y$ is generated by $g^{-1}(g(x)g(y))$.

References

- H. Agahi, M. A. Yaghoobi, A minkowski type inequality for fuzzy integrals, Journal of Uncertain Systems 4(3) (2010), 187-194.
- [2] H. Agahi, Y. Ouyang, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, M. Štrboja, Hölder and Minkowski type inequalities for pseudo-integral, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2011), 8630-8639.
- [3] L. Bougoffa, On Minkowski and Hardy integral inequalities, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics 7(2) (2006), article 60.
- [4] T. Y. Chen, H. L. Chang, G. H. Tzeng, Using fuzzy measures and habitual domains to analyze the public attitude and apply to the gas taxi policy, European Journal of Operational Research 137 (2002), 145-161.
- [5] B. Daraby, A Convolution type inequality for pseudo-integrals, Acta Universitatis Apuensis, No. 48 (2016), 27-35.
- [6] B. Daraby, Results of the Chebyshev type inequality for pseudo-integral, Vol. 4, No. 1 (2016), 91-100.

- [7] B. Daraby, Generalization of the Stolarsky type inequality for pseudo-integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 194 (2012), 90-96.
- B. Daraby, L. Arabi, Related Fritz Carlson type inequality for Sugeno integrals, Soft computing, DOI: 10.1007/s00500-013-1024-8., 17 (2013), 1745-1750.
- [9] B. Daraby, H. Ghazanfary Asll, I. Sadeqi, General related inequalities to Carlson-type inequality for the Sugeno integral, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 305 (2017), 323-329.
- [10] B. Daraby, H. Ghazanfary Asll, L. Sadeqi, Gronwall's inequality for pseudo-integral, Analele Universitatii Oradea Fasc. Mathematics, Tom XXIV (2017), Issue No. 1, 67-74.
- [11] B. Daraby, A. Shafiloo, A. Rahimi, Generalizations of the Feng Qi type inequality for pseudointegrals, Gazi University Journal of Science, 28(4)(2015), 695-702.
- [12] A. Flores-Franulič, H. Román-Flores, A Chebyshev type inequality for fuzzy integrals, Applied Mathematics and Computation 190 (2007), 1178-1184.
- [13] S. G. Krantz, Jensen's Inequality, # 9.1.3 in Handbook of Complex Variables, Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 119, 1999.
- [14] W. Kuich, Semiring, Automata, Languages, Springer-verlag, Berlin, (1986).
- [15] J.-Y. Lu, K.-S. Wu, J.-C. Lin, Fast full search in motion estimation by hierarchical use of Minkowski's inequality, Pattern Recognition 31 (1998), 945-952.
- [16] R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Idempotent integral as limit of g-integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 102 (1999), 385-392.
- [17] R. Mesiar, Y. Ouyang, general Chebyshev type inequalities for Sugeno integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 160 (2009), 58-64.
- [18] R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Idempotent integral as limit of g-integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 102 (1999), 385-392.
- [19] H. Minkowski, Geometrie der Zahlen, Teubner, Leipzig, 1910.
- [20] C. Mortici and H. M. Srivastava, Estimates for the arctangnt function related to Shafer's inequality, Colloq. Math. 136 (2014), 263-27.
- [21] Y. Ouyang, J. Fang, L. Wang, Fuzzy Chebyshev type inequality, Internatinal Journal of Approximate Reasoning 48 (2008), 829-835.
- [22] U. M, özkan, M. Z. Sarikaya, H. Yildirim, Extensions of certain integral inequalities on time scales, Applied Mathematics Letters 21 (2008), 993-1000.
- [23] E. Pap, An integral generated by decomposable measure, Univ. Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.
 -Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 20(1) (1990), 135-144.
- [24] E. Pap, Null-additive Set Functions, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.

- [25] E. Pap, M. Štrboja, Generalization of the Jensen inequality for pseudo-integral, Information Sciences 180 (2010), 543-548.
- [26] D. Ralescu, G. Adams, The fuzzy integral, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 75 (1980), 562-570.
- [27] H. Romn-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, The fuzzy integral for monotone functions, Applied Mathematics and Computation 185 (2007) 492-498.
- [28] H. Román-Flores, H. Y. Chalco-Cano, Sugeno integral and geometric inequalities, International Journal of Uncertainity, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based System 15 (2007), 1-11.
- [29] H. Román-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, A Jensen type inequality for fuzzy integrals, Information Sciences 177 (2007), 3192-3201.
- [30] H. Román-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, A convolution type inequality for fuzzy integrals, Applied Mathematics and Computation 195 (2008), 94-99.
- [31] H. Román-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, A note on fuzzy integral inequality of Stolarsky type, Applied Mathematics and Computation 196 (2008), 55-59.
- [32] W. Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976.
- [33] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [34] H. M. Srivastava, K.-L. Tseng, S.-J. Tseng and J.-C. Lo, Some generalizations of Maroni's inequalities on time scales, Math. Inequal. Appl. 14 (2014), 469-480.
- [35] H. M. Srivastava, K.-L. Tseng, S.-J. Tseng and J.-C. Lo, Some weighted Opial-type inequalities on time scales, Taiwanese J. Math. 14 (2010), 107-122.
- [36] H. M. Srivastava, Z,-H. Zhang and Y.-D. Wu, Some further refinements and extensions of the Hermite-Hadamard and Jensen inequalities in sevral variables, Math. Cmput. Model. 54 (2011), 2709-2717.
- [37] M. Sugeno, Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications, Ph.D. thesis. Tokyo Institute of Technology 1974.
- [38] Y. L. Tong, Relationship between stochastic inequalities and some classical mathematical inequalities, J. Inequal. Appl. 1 (1997), 85-98.
- [39] T. Tunç, M. Z. Sarikaya and H. M. Srivastava, Some generalized Steffensen's inequalties via a new identity for local fractional integrals, Internat. J. Anal. Appl. 13 (2017), 98-107.
- [40] Z. Wang, G. Klir, Fuzzy Measure Theory, Plenum Press, New York, 1992.
- [41] W. Wei, H. M. Srivastava, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, P. Shen and J. Zhang, A local fractional integral inequality on fractal space analogous to Anderson's Inequality, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014 (2014), Article ID 797561, 1-7.